

Lecture 5

TODAY: • Noetherian topological spaces and decomposition into irreducible components.

Noetherian topological spaces:

Def: A topological space X is noetherian if it satisfies the descending chain condition for closed subsets: for any sequence $Y_1 \supseteq Y_2 \supseteq \dots$ of closed subsets, $\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ s.t. $Y_r = Y_{r+1} = \dots$.

Observation: As with irreducibility, this is probably a new concept because it is not very interesting when working with the Euclidean topology on \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n : a noetherian Hausdorff space must be a finite set with the discrete topology. We will prove it later.

Example: A^n with the Zariski topology is noetherian.

$X_1 \supseteq X_2 \supseteq \dots$ Zariski closed subsets

$$\Rightarrow I(X_1) \subseteq I(X_2) \subseteq \dots$$

$k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is noetherian $\Rightarrow \exists r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ s.t.

$$I(X_r) = I(X_{r+1}) = \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow Z(I(X_r)) = Z(I(X_{r+1})) = \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow X_r = X_{r+1} = \dots$$

The next proposition produces a lot of noetherian topological spaces.

Prop: Let X be a noetherian topological space and let $S \subseteq X$. Then S is noetherian with its induced topology.

proof: Exercise. \square

Corollary: Any Zariski closed subset of A^1 is noetherian.

Recall: A topological space X is quasi-compact if for any open cover $X = \bigcup_i U_i$, $\exists i_1, \dots, i_n$ s.t. $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^n U_{i_j}$.

Prop: A noetherian topological space X is quasi-compact.

proof: By contradiction. Let $X = \bigcup_i U_i$ and assume that there is no finite subcover. Consider any U_{i_1} , and let U_{i_2} such that $U_{i_1} \not\subseteq U_{i_1} \cup U_{i_2}$. Let U_{i_3} s.t. $U_{i_1} \cup U_{i_2} \not\subseteq U_{i_1} \cup U_{i_2} \cup U_{i_3}$, and keep going. This contradicts the fact that X is noetherian. \square

Corollary: Any Zariski closed subset of A^1 is quasi-compact.

Before proving today's main theorem, we need a lemma.

Lemma: Let X be a topological space. Then X is irreducible \iff every time $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$, $C_i \subseteq X$ closed, then $X = C_i$, $\exists i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

proof: (\Leftarrow) \checkmark

(\Rightarrow) $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i = C_1 \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=2}^n C_i \right) \overset{\text{closed}}{\Rightarrow} X = C_1$ or $X = \bigcup_{i=2}^n C_i$. If $X = C_1$ we are done.

Otherwise, iterate this argument on $X = \bigcup_{i=2}^n C_i$. □

Thm: Let X be a noetherian topological space. Then $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_n$, where X_1, \dots, X_n are closed irreducible subspaces of X . If $X_i \not\subseteq X_j \forall i \neq j$, then this representation is unique.

proof: Let \mathcal{S} be the set of all closed subsets of X which cannot be written as above. Let us assume by contradiction that $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$. Then \mathcal{S} has a minimal element Y because X is noetherian. Then Y is not irreducible, so $\exists Y_1, Y_2 \subsetneq Y$ closed such that

$Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$. But now $Y_1, Y_2 \notin \mathcal{S}$ because Y is minimal, so $Y_1 = Y_1^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup Y_r^{(1)}$ and $Y_2 = Y_1^{(2)} \cup \dots \cup Y_s^{(2)}$ where $Y_j^{(i)}$ is a closed irreducible subset of $Y_i \forall i, j$. But then $Y = Y_1^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup Y_r^{(1)} \cup Y_1^{(2)} \cup \dots \cup Y_s^{(2)}$, which is a contradiction. So $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$ and X can be written as claimed.

Now assume $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_n = Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_m$ as in the statement of the theorem with $X_i \not\subseteq X_j$ and $Y_k \not\subseteq Y_l \forall i \neq j$ and $\forall k \neq l$.

$$X_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^m (X_1 \cap Y_i) \Rightarrow X_1 = X_1 \cap Y_{i'}, \exists i' \Rightarrow X_1 \subseteq Y_{i'}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } Y_{i'} \subseteq X_{i''}, \exists i'' \Rightarrow X_1 \subseteq Y_{i'} \subseteq X_{i''}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = i'' \Rightarrow X_1 = Y_{i'}. \text{ Up to relabeling}$$

Y_1, \dots, Y_m , we can assume that $X_1 = Y_1$.

Let $Z := \overline{X \setminus X_1}^X = X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_n = Y_2 \cup \dots \cup Y_m$, so now we just iterate the same argument. \square

Example: $I = ((x^2 + y^2 - 1)(x^2 y^2 - x^2 - y^2 + 1))$, $k = \overline{k}$ (or $|k| = \infty$).

$Z(I)$ has a decomposition into irreducible closed subset:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z(I) &= Z(x^2 + y^2 - 1) \cup Z(\overbrace{x^2 y^2 - x^2 - y^2 + 1}) \\
 &= Z(x^2 + y^2 - 1) \cup Z(x-1) \cup Z(x+1) \cup Z(y-1) \cup Z(y+1)
 \end{aligned}$$